Welcome

Jurassic World (Special Crisis Committee: Isla Nublar Incident)



Rogelio Sancho Solorio

Chairman of Jurassic World Committee

Alexa Montes Vilchis

Moderator of Jurassic World Committee

Emiliiano Padilla Alegria

Conference Officer of Jurassic World Committee

INDEX

"You must be the change you want to see in the world."

-Mahatma Gandhi

Distinguished delegates,

On behalf of the Jurassic World (Special Crisis Committee: Isla Nublar Incident), let me extend you the warmest welcome to the 11th edition of CENMUN; we are deeply honored to have you interested in joining this committee, where your passion and commitment will be essential in addressing some of the most pressing global challenges nowadays.

Throughout this committee, you will represent your assigned roles while engaging in discussions that require responsibility, collaboration, and respect for diverse perspectives. Delegates are expected to analyze complex situations, work constructively with others, and propose solutions that reflect both strategic thinking and ethical awareness.This committee offers an opportunity to strengthen your skills in negotiation, public speaking, and critical analysis. By actively participating, you will gain a deeper understanding of how international actors respond to crisis situations and how collective efforts can lead to effective outcomes.

We encourage you to come prepared, remain open to different viewpoints, and contribute positively to the work of the committee. Your engagement and commitment will be key to the success of this model and to creating a productive and enriching experience for all participants.

Sincerely,

Rogelio Sancho Solorio

Chairman of Jurassic World Committee.

About the Committee

Jurassic World is a science-fiction film released in 2015 and the fourth installment of the Jurassic Park saga. The events of this Special Crisis Committee are based on the Isla Nublar Incident, a large-scale security and ethical failure involving the collapse of a genetically engineered theme park.

Twenty-two years after the original Jurassic Park incident, Isla Nublar appeared to have achieved long-term stability. Jurassic World was established as a high-end theme park where genetically cloned dinosaurs were displayed to the public under the promise of advanced security systems and strict containment protocols. However, corporate pressure to increase profits and public interest led to the creation of a new hybrid species: the Indominus Rex, a genetically modified organism designed to be larger, stronger, and more intelligent than any previous species.

Due to insufficient risk assessment, inadequate containment infrastructure, and overconfidence in technological control, the Indominus Rex escaped its enclosure. This triggered a cascade of failures, including the deaths of park personnel, the collapse of internal security forces, the release of additional dinosaur species, and a mass evacuation crisis involving thousands of civilians.

The incident rapidly escalated from a local security failure into an international emergency, raising serious questions regarding corporate responsibility, bioengineering ethics, crisis management, and the role of international institutions in responding to unprecedented biological threats.

This committee will simulate the immediate and long-term global response to the Isla Nublar Incident, challenging delegates to balance security, ethics, scientific innovation, and human safety under crisis conditions.

Characters & Institutions

Owen Grady

Claire Dearing

Dr. Alan Grant

Dr. Ellie Sattler

Dr. Ian Malcolm

Dr. Henry Wu

Maisie Lockwood

Dr. Sarah Harding

Barry Sembène

Ramsay Cole

Franklin Webb

Zia Rodriguez

Lewis Dodgson

Kayla Watts

Vic Hoskins

InGen Corporation

BioSyn Genetics

U.S. Department of Defense

United Nations Emergency Task Force

Costa Rican Government

Isla Nublar Security Authority

Global Genetic Ethics Council

World Health Organization (WHO)

International Wildlife Protection Agency

Private Security Contractors

Topic A: International containment and evacuation strategies following the release of dinosaur species on Isla Nublar.

Introduction

The Isla Nublar Incident represents a critical failure in emergency preparedness, security coordination, and crisis response management. The escape of multiple dinosaur species, including highly aggressive predators and airborne organisms, created a chaotic environment that overwhelmed local security forces and endangered thousands of civilians.

The inability to execute an effective evacuation plan exposed significant weaknesses in contingency planning, staff training, communication systems, and leadership decision-making. This topic focuses on evaluating these failures and proposing international containment and evacuation strategies capable of addressing biological threats of unprecedented scale.

Background

At the time of the incident, Jurassic World lacked a comprehensive emergency evacuation framework capable of responding to simultaneous threats across the island. Personnel were insufficiently trained to manage mass panic, and evacuation routes were poorly coordinated, leading to overcrowding, confusion, and loss of life.

The collapse of communication systems further intensified the crisis, as visitors and staff were unable to receive accurate instructions. The use of limited maritime evacuation methods proved ineffective for the scale of the emergency, while the absence of international oversight delayed external intervention.

Beyond the immediate human cost, the incident resulted in severe financial losses, legal action against the corporation, and permanent damage to public trust. The failure to prioritize safety over profit ultimately led to the closure and abandonment of the park, demonstrating the long-term consequences of inadequate crisis management.

Questions to Consider

- What specific management decisions led to the lack of preparation and inadequate training of personnel during the incident?
- Why were the emergency protocols insufficient to manage a large-scale evacuation involving the escape of multiple dinosaur species?
- How could improved staff training and organized emergency movement have helped reduce panic and the number of injured or deceased visitors?
- What alternative evacuation strategies should have been implemented instead of relying on an overcrowded boat?
- How did the lack of effective communication systems contribute to confusion and delays during the evacuation process?
- What responsibility does Jurassic World's leadership bear for prioritizing entertainment and profit over safety and security?
- How could proper compliance with legal regulations and safety standards have changed the park's conditions before the incident occurred?
- What lessons from the Isla Nublar Incident can be applied to future scientific and technological projects?

References

- **IMDb. (2015). *Jurassic World (2015)*. IMDb.** **https://www.imdb.com/title/tt0369610/**

- **Jurassic World.** (s.f.). *Jurassic Park Wiki*. Fandom. Recuperado el 21 de enero de 2026, de https://jurassicpark.fandom.com/es/wiki/Jurassic_World

- Times of India. (2025, January 16). *Jurassic World plot summary*. *Times of India*. https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/jurassic-world-plot-summary/articleshow/47629722.cms

- **Universal Pictures.** (2015, abril 20). *Jurassic World - Official Trailer (HD)* [Video]. YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kw1h_AuXAYs&t=28s

Topic B: Global regulation of genetic bioengineering: security risks, corporate responsibility, and ethical implications.

Introduction

The creation of the Indominus Rex exposed the dangers of unregulated genetic bioengineering driven by corporate ambition rather than ethical responsibility. The development of a highly intelligent and aggressive hybrid species without adequate containment measures transformed a scientific experiment into a global security threat.

This topic addresses the ethical, legal, and security implications of advanced genetic engineering, emphasizing the need for international regulation, accountability, and oversight when developing genetically modified organisms with potential mass-casualty capabilities.

Background

The Indominus Rex was engineered using genetic material from multiple species, combining enhanced intelligence, adaptability, and physical strength. Despite its unprecedented capabilities, Jurassic World failed to establish containment systems capable of controlling such a high-risk organism.

Corporate decision-making prioritized innovation and spectacle over ethical considerations and risk assessment. The lack of transparency, insufficient external regulation, and overconfidence in technological solutions resulted in catastrophic consequences, including human fatalities, ecological disruption, and the total collapse of park security.

The incident highlights the urgent need for global standards governing genetic bioengineering, particularly when private corporations engage in experiments with direct implications for public safety and international security.

Questions to Consider

- What factors led Jurassic World to prioritize innovation and entertainment over proper risk assessment and security measures?
- Which ethical limits were ignored by combining the DNA of the most intelligent and strongest species?
- How did inadequate containment facilities contribute to the escape of the Indominus Rex?
- What responsibility does the Jurassic World corporation bear for the deaths caused by the Indominus Rex?
- Why was the park's most highly trained security unit (ACU) unable to control the Indominus, and what does this reveal about overconfidence in technology?
- Should corporations be allowed to create genetically modified species if they cannot guarantee adequate security?
- What types of regulations or guidelines should govern the creation of new species through bioengineering?
- How does the failure of the Indominus Rex affect future projects, and what lessons can be learned from it?

References

- *Goyal, Y. (2023). The moral implications of genetic engineering.* ***International Journal of Advanced Research, 11****, 1094–1098.* *https://www.journalijar.com/article/46219/the-moral-implications-of-genetic-engineering/*

- *Vogel, K. M., & Ouagrham-Gormley, S. (2023). Safety risks and ethical governance of biomedical applications of synthetic biology.* ***Journal of Medical Ethics****.* *https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10628459/*

- *Zhang, Y., & Wang, Q. (2022). Governance challenges and biosecurity risks in synthetic biology.* ***Patterns, 3****(4).* *https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2588933822000036*

- *Allied Academies. (2024). The ethical implications of genetic engineering: Balancing innovation and responsibility.* ***Journal of Biotechnology and Bioengineering****.* *https://www.alliedacademies.org/articles/the-ethical-implications-of-genetic-engineering-balancing-innovation-and-responsibility-30518.html*